# Merging sensor data from multiple temperature scenarios for vibration-based monitoring of civil structures

<u>Michèle Basseville</u>, Laurent Mevel, Houssein Nasser IRISA (CNRS & INRIA & Univ.), Rennes, France

Frédéric Bourquin, Fabien Treyssède

LCPC, Paris & Nantes, France

National Computer & Security project Constructif

michele.basseville@irisa.fr -- http://www.irisa.fr/sisthem/

#### Introduction

- Usefulness of global vibration-based SHM methods
- Limitations due to temperature effects on the dynamics of civil engineering structures
- A statistical subspace-based damage detection algorithm: null space of a matrix built on reference modes/modeshapes
- Non parametric version: null space of a matrix built on reference data set
- Proposed solution to temperature handling: no temperature measurement, empirical merging of non parametric null spaces

#### 2

#### Parametric subspace-based damage detection

$$\begin{array}{rcl} X_{k+1} \ = \ F \ X_k \ + \ V_k & F \ \varphi_{\lambda} = \lambda \ \varphi_{\lambda} \\ \\ Y_k \ = \ H \ X_k & \phi_{\lambda} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} H \ \varphi_{\lambda} \end{array}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{i} \triangleq \mathbf{E} \left( Y_{k} \; Y_{k-i}^{T} \right) \;, \; \; \mathcal{H} \; \triangleq \; \begin{pmatrix} R_{0} \; R_{1} \; R_{2} \; \dots \\ R_{1} \; R_{2} \; R_{3} \; \dots \\ R_{2} \; R_{3} \; R_{4} \; \dots \\ \mathbf{I} \; \mathbf{I} \; \cdots \; \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$R_i = H F^i G \Longrightarrow \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{O} \mathcal{C}$$

$$\mathcal{O} \triangleq egin{pmatrix} H \\ HF \\ HF^2 \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{C} \triangleq (\ G \ \ FG \ \ F^2G \ \ \dots ) \end{pmatrix} \\ \mathcal{G} \triangleq \mathrm{E} \left( X_k \ Y_k^T 
ight) \\ \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow (H,F) \longrightarrow (\lambda, \phi_{\lambda}) \end{cases}$$

#### Content

#### Parametric subspace-based damage detection

Non parametric version: empirical null space

Merging multiple measurements setups

**Experimental results** 

Comparison with a parametric approach

Conclusion

Canonical parameter :  $\theta \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \operatorname{vec} \Phi \end{pmatrix}$  modes mode shapes

Observability in modal basis :  $\mathcal{O}_{p+1}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi & \cdot \\ \Phi \Delta \\ \vdots \\ \Phi \Delta^p \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $heta_0$  : reference parameter for safe structure Left null space:  $S^TS = I_s, \ S^T \ \mathcal{O}_{p+1}( heta_0) = 0$ 

 $Y_k$ : *N*-size sample of new measurements Residual for SHM:

$$\zeta_N(\theta_0) \triangleq \operatorname{vec}(S^T(\theta_0) \hat{\mathcal{H}})$$

 $\mathcal{J}(\theta_0)$  : sensitivity of residual  $\zeta$  w.r.t. modal changes

$$\chi^2$$
-test:  $\zeta_N^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{J} (\mathcal{J}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathcal{J})^{-1} \mathcal{J}^T \Sigma^{-1} \zeta_N \ge h$ 

#### Merging multiple data sets at different temperatures

J reference data sets :  $\overline{\mathcal{H}}_{p+1,q}^{(0)} \triangleq 1/J$   $\sum_{j=1}^{J} \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{p+1,q}^{(0),j}$ 

Global empirical null space:  $\overline{S}_0^T \overline{\mathcal{H}}_{p+1,q}^{(0)} = 0$ 

 $Y_k$ : N-size sample of new measurements

**Residual** for SHM:

$$\overline{\zeta}_{N} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \operatorname{vec}\left(\overline{S}_{0}^{T} \hat{\mathcal{H}}\right)$$

 $\overline{\Sigma}$ : covariance of  $\overline{\zeta}$ 

 $\chi^2$ -test:  $\overline{\zeta}_N^T \, \overline{\Sigma}^{-1} \, \overline{\zeta}_N \geq h$ 

#### Non parametric version: empirical null space

Reference data set for safe structure

Left null space:  $\hat{S}_0^T \ \hat{S}_0 = I_s, \ \hat{S}_0^T \ \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{(0)} = 0$ 

 $Y_k$ : N-size sample of new measurements

**Residual** for SHM:

$$\zeta_N \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \operatorname{vec}( \hat{S}_0^T \hat{\mathcal{H}} )$$

**Σ**: covariance of  $\zeta$ 

 $\chi^2$ -test:  $\zeta_N^T \Sigma^{-1} \zeta_N \ge h$ 

### Example - Beam within a climatic chamber

- A laboratory test-case provided by LCPC Climatic chamber in Nantes
- Vertical clamped beam subject to decreasing temperatures
- Small local damage: horizontal clamped spring attached to the beam, with tunable stiffness and height



#### Decreasing temperature effect on the first 4 frequencies



First 4 frequencies vs. thermal constraint. Computed (black) and identified (safe, damaged)









**Implementation issues** 

- Compute the key matrix  $\Sigma$  only once
- For robustness w.r.t. changes in the excitation: compute  $\Sigma$  for each scenario

9

## Comparison with a parametric model-based approach: temperature-adjusted null space



13

#### Conclusion

Temperature effect in vibration-based SHM

Statistical non-parametric approach

Statistical subspace-based damage detection algorithm

Empirical null space merging data at # temperatures

Example: clamped beam within climatic chamber

Comparison with a parametric model-based approach (temperature-adjusted null space)

Ongoing: statistical nuisance rejection

Future: in-operation examples, extension to nonstationary case