Output-only subspace-based structural identification

and damage detection and localization

Laurent Mével, Michèle Basseville, Albert Benveniste, IRISA, Rennes, France Maurice Goursat, INRIA, Rocquencourt, France

basseville@irisa.fr - http://www.irisa.fr/sigma2/

Toolboxes: LMS CADA-X, and Scilab

ftp://ftp.inria.fr/INRIA/Projects/Meta2/Scilab/contrib/MODAL/

Identification and merging

- Output-only eigenstructure identification,
- In the presence of nonstationary excitation,
- Handling moving sensor pools, with some reference sensors.

Wanted:

- Avoid merging identification results from the different pools,
- Merge the data instead, and process them globally,
- Use a standard subspace algorithm.

Problems : In-operation modal identification

and damage detection and localization

- The excitation is typically: - natural, not controlled.
 - not measured:
 - * buildings, bridges, offshore structures,
 - * rotating machinery,
 - * cars, trains, aircrafts.
 - nonstationary (e.g., turbulent).
- How to merge multiple measurements setups e.g. in case of moving sensors?
- How to detect and localize small damages?

2

Damage detection and localization

- Output-only damage detection and localization,
- In the presence of nonstationary excitation,
- On-board handling of small damages.

Wanted:

- Early warning and interpretation of damages,
- Avoid re-identification prior to detection,
- Avoid inverse problem solving prior to damage localization.

Contents

Modelling

- Modelling
- Output-only covariance-based subspace identification
- Merging multiple measurements setups
- Robustness to nonstationary excitation
- Damage detection
- Back to eigenstructure monitoring
- Damage diagnostics

Output-only covariance-based subspace identification

$$\underbrace{\mathbf{R}_{i} \triangleq \mathbf{E} \left(\mathbf{Y}_{k} \ \mathbf{Y}_{k-i}^{T} \right)}_{\text{ok if stationary !}}, \quad \mathcal{H} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{R}_{0} & \mathbf{R}_{1} & \mathbf{R}_{2} & \dots \\ \mathbf{R}_{1} & \mathbf{R}_{2} & \mathbf{R}_{3} & \dots \\ \mathbf{R}_{2} & \mathbf{R}_{3} & \mathbf{R}_{4} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{split} \boldsymbol{R_i} &= \boldsymbol{H} \ \boldsymbol{F^i} \ \boldsymbol{G} \ , \quad \boldsymbol{G} \triangleq \mathrm{E} \left(\boldsymbol{X_k} \ \boldsymbol{Y_k^T} \right) \\ \mathcal{O} \triangleq \left(\begin{array}{c} \boldsymbol{H} \\ \boldsymbol{HF} \\ \boldsymbol{HF^2} \\ \vdots \end{array} \right) \ , \ \mathcal{C} \triangleq \left(\ \boldsymbol{G} \ \boldsymbol{FG} \ \boldsymbol{F^2G} \ \dots \right) \\ \mathcal{H} &= \mathcal{O} \ \mathcal{C} \ , \ \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{O} \longrightarrow (\boldsymbol{H}, \boldsymbol{F}) \longrightarrow (\boldsymbol{\lambda}, \varphi_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}) \end{split}$$

FE model: $\begin{cases}
M\ddot{Z}(s) + C\dot{Z}(s) + KZ(s) = \nu(s) \\
Y(s) = LZ(s) \\
(M\mu^2 + C\mu + K)\Psi\mu = 0, \quad \psi\mu = L\Psi\mu
\end{cases}$ State space: $\begin{cases}
X_{k+1} = FX_k + V_k \\
Y_k = HX_k \\
F\Phi_\lambda = \lambda \Phi_\lambda, \quad \varphi_\lambda \triangleq H\Phi_\lambda
\end{cases}$ $\frac{e^{\delta\mu} = \lambda}{\text{modes}}, \quad \frac{\psi_\mu = \varphi_\lambda}{\text{mode shapes}}$

Implementation

$$\underbrace{\hat{R}_{i} \triangleq \frac{1}{N} \begin{array}{c} N \\ k = 1 \end{array}_{k=1}^{N} Y_{k} Y_{k-i}^{T} \\ \mathbf{\hat{K}_{i}} \end{array}_{k=1}^{N}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{R}_{0} & \hat{R}_{1} & \hat{R}_{2} & \dots \\ \hat{R}_{1} & \hat{R}_{2} & \hat{R}_{3} & \dots \\ \hat{R}_{2} & \hat{R}_{3} & \hat{R}_{4} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}}_{k=1}$$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{SVD}(\hat{\mathcal{H}}) + \mathrm{truncation} &\longrightarrow \hat{\mathcal{O}} \longrightarrow (\hat{H}, \hat{F}) \longrightarrow (\hat{\lambda}, \hat{\varphi}_{\lambda}) \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}} = U \ \Delta \ W^T = U \ \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \Delta_0 \end{pmatrix} \ W^T \ ; \quad \hat{\mathcal{O}} = U \ \Delta_1^{1/2} \\ \mathcal{O}_p^{\uparrow}(H, F) = \mathcal{O}_p(H, F) \ F \\ \det(F - \lambda \ I) = 0 \ , \quad F \ \Phi_{\lambda} = \lambda \ \Phi_{\lambda}, \quad \varphi_{\lambda} = H \ \Phi_{\lambda} \end{split}$$

Merging multiple measurements setups

$$\begin{bmatrix} Y_{k}^{(0,1)} \\ Y_{k}^{(1)} \\ Y_{k}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} Y_{k}^{(0,2)} \\ Y_{k}^{(2)} \\ Y_{k}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} Y_{k}^{(0,j)} \\ Y_{k}^{(j)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{cases} X_{k+1}^{(j)} = F X_{k}^{(j)} + V_{k}^{(j)} \\ Y_{k}^{(0,j)} = H_{0} X_{k}^{(j)} \\ Y_{k}^{(0,j)} = H_{j} X_{k}^{(j)} \end{cases}$$

$$(the reference)$$

$$Y_{k}^{(j)} = H_{j} X_{k}^{(j)} \qquad (sensor pool n^{o}j) \\ R_{i}^{0,j} \triangleq E Y_{k}^{(0,j)} Y_{k-i}^{(0,j)T}, \quad R_{i}^{j} \triangleq E Y_{k}^{(j)} Y_{k-i}^{(0,j)T}$$

$$E Y_{k}^{(j)} Y_{k-i}^{(j)T} \text{ not used}, \quad E Y_{k}^{(j')} Y_{k-i}^{(j)T} (j \neq j') \text{ not available}$$

Robustness to nonstationary excitation

Time-varying excitation within each record

$$\operatorname{cov} V_k^{(j)} = Q_k$$

Approximate factorization of covariances

$$\hat{R}_i \approx H F^i \hat{G}$$

Consistency :
$$T^{-1} \hat{F} T \to F$$
, $\hat{H} \to H$

Combination of:

• the key factorization property of the covariances,

• the averaging operation underlying covariance computation, allows to cancel out nonstationarities in the excitation.

Stationary excitation

$$\operatorname{cov} V_k^{(j)} = Q , \quad G \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \to X_k^{(j)} Y_k^{(0,j)T}$$

$$R_i^{0,j} = H_0 F^i G \stackrel{\Delta}{=} R_i^0 , \quad R_i^j = H_j F^i G$$

$$R_i^{\pi} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{bmatrix} R_i^0 \\ R_i^1 \\ \vdots \\ R_i^J \end{bmatrix} = H F^i G , \quad H \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \begin{bmatrix} H_0 \\ H_1 \\ \vdots \\ H_J \end{bmatrix}$$

Nonstationary excitation

$$\operatorname{cov} V_k^{(j)} = Q_j , \quad G_j \triangleq \mathbb{E} X_k^{(j)} Y_k^{(0,j)T}$$
$$R_i^{0,j} = H_0 F^i G_j , \quad R_i^j = H_j F^i G_j$$

Hint: right renormalization of the covariances.

10

Introducing the parameter vector

FE model:

$$\begin{cases}
M\ddot{Z}(s) + C\dot{Z}(s) + KZ(s) = \nu(s) \\
Y(s) = LZ(s) \\
(M\mu^2 + C\mu + K)\Psi\mu = 0, \quad \psi\mu = L\Psi\mu \\
M\mu^2 + C\mu + K)\Psi\mu = 0, \quad \psi\mu = L\Psi\mu \\
K\mu^2 + C\mu + K)\Psi\mu = 0, \quad \psi\mu = L\Psi\mu \\
K\mu^2 + C\mu + K)\Psi\mu = 0, \quad \psi\mu = L\Psi\mu \\
F\mu\mu = FX_k + V_k \\
F\mu_k = HX_k \\
F\Phi_k = \lambda \Phi_k, \quad \varphi_k \triangleq H\Phi_k
\end{cases}$$

Parameter: $\underline{e^{\delta\mu} = \lambda}_{\text{modes}}$, $\underline{\psi_{\mu} = \varphi_{\lambda}}_{\text{mode shapes}}$; $\theta \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \text{vec } \Phi \end{pmatrix}$

Damage detection

Local approach (small deviations)

 θ_0 : reference parameter, known (or identified)

 Y_k : N-size sample of new measurements

Build a residual ζ significantly non zero when damage

Test
$$\mathcal{H}_0: \ \theta = \theta_0$$
 against $\mathcal{H}_1: \ \theta = \theta_0 + \frac{\delta \theta}{\sqrt{N}}$

The residual is asymptotically Gaussian

$$\zeta_N(heta_0) \rightarrow egin{cases} \mathcal{N}(&0,\ \Sigma(heta_0)) & ext{under} & \mathrm{P}_{ heta_0} \ \mathcal{N}(&\mathcal{M}(heta_0)\ \delta heta,\ \Sigma(heta_0)) & ext{under} & \mathrm{P}_{ heta_0+rac{\delta heta}{\sqrt{N}}} \end{cases}$$

(On-board) χ^2 -test

$$\zeta_N^T \,\, \Sigma^{-1} \,\, \mathcal{M} \,\, (\mathcal{M}^T \, \Sigma^{-1} \,\, \mathcal{M})^{-1} \,\, \mathcal{M}^T \,\, \Sigma^{-1} \,\,\, \zeta_N \,\,\, \geq h$$

Invariant / pre-multiplication of
$$\zeta$$
 with invertible gain

• 3

Residual ↔ **Estimating function**

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{\zeta}_{oldsymbol{N}}(heta_0) = rac{1}{\sqrt{N}} & \sum \limits_{egin{subarray}{c} \Sigma \ eta = 1 \ eta \end{bmatrix}} K(heta_0, oldsymbol{Y}_{oldsymbol{k}}) \end{aligned}$$

Characterized by: $\mathrm{E}_{ heta_0} \; K(heta,Y_k) = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad heta = heta_0$

Warning: Prediction error for sensor faults ONLY!

Mean sensitivity (Jacobian) and covariance

$$\mathcal{M}(\theta_0) \triangleq -\mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \frac{\partial K(\theta_0, Y_k)}{\partial \theta}, \quad \Sigma(\theta_0) \triangleq \lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbf{E}_{\theta_0} \, \zeta_N(\theta_0) \zeta_N^T(\theta_0)$$

Back to eigenstructure monitoring

$$\left\{egin{array}{lll} X_{k+1} &=& FX_k + V_k & F \ arphi_\lambda &=& \lambda \ arphi_\lambda &=& HX_k & \Phi_\lambda \stackrel{\Delta}{=} H \ arphi_\lambda \end{array}
ight.$$

Canonical parametrization:
$$\theta \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda \\ \operatorname{vec} \Phi \end{pmatrix}$$

Observability in modal basis: $\mathcal{O}_{p+1}(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \Phi \\ \Phi \Delta \\ \vdots \\ \Phi \Delta^p \end{pmatrix}$

System parameter characterization:

 $\mathcal{H}_{p+1,q}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{p+1}(\theta)$ have the same left kernel.

Back to structural subspace identification

$$\exists S, S^T S = I_s, S^T \mathcal{O}_{p+1}(\theta_0) = 0; \text{ say } S(\theta_0)$$

$$heta_0 \leftrightarrow (R^0_i)_i$$
 characterized by: $S^T(heta_0) \ \hat{\mathcal{H}}^0_{p+1,q} = 0$

Residual for structural damage monitoring

$$\zeta_N(heta_0) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \operatorname{vec}(\ S^T(heta_0) \ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{p+1,q} \)$$

Damage diagnostics: (local) sensitivity approach

$$\zeta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{M} \,\, \delta heta, \,\,\, \Sigma), \,\,\,\,\,\,\,\, \delta heta = \mathcal{I} \,\,\, \mathcal{J}_{(M_0^\star, K_0^\star)} \left(egin{smallmatrix} \delta M \ \delta K \end{pmatrix}$$

 $(M_0^{\star}, K_0^{\star})$: design model

Jacobian : $(\delta M, \delta K) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{J}_{(M_0^{\star}, K_0^{\star})}} \rightarrow (\delta \mu, \delta \psi_{\mu})$

Reduction: \mathcal{I} matching computed/identified modes

Problem : $\dim \begin{pmatrix} M \\ K \end{pmatrix} \gg \dim \theta$

On-board damage diagnostics: projecting changes



18

Computing Jacobian

$$1. \quad (\delta M, \delta K) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{IJ}_{(M_0^{\star}, K_0^{\star})}}{mode \ selection} \rightarrow \ (\delta \mu, \delta \psi_{\mu})$$

- 2. Apply $\mathcal{I}\mathcal{J}$ to unit vectors $(\delta M, \delta K)$
- 3. Truncate small vectors $(\delta \mu, \delta \psi_{\mu})$
- 4. Cluster the remaining vectors $(\delta \mu, \delta \psi_{\mu})$, using the χ^2 -metric.
- ? Better reduction techniques, instead of 1., 2., 3.