

H.530: Symmetric security procedures for H.323 mobility in H.510

Original version

Protocol Purpose

Establish an authenticated (Diffie-Hellman) shared-key between a mobile terminal (MT) and a visited gate-keeper (VGK), who do not know each other in advance, but who have a "mutual friend", an authentication facility (AuF) in the home domain of MT.

Definition Reference

<http://www.itu.int/rec/recommendation.asp?type=folders&lang=e&parent=T-REC-H.530>
(original version without "corrigendum")

Model Authors

Sebastian Mödersheim, ETH Zürich

Alice&Bob style

Macros

M1 = MT, VGK, NIL, CH1, $\exp(G, X)$

M2 = M1, $F(ZZ, M1)$, VGK, $\exp(G, X) \text{ XOR } \exp(G, Y)$

M3 = VGK, MT, $F(ZZ, VGK)$, $F(ZZ, \exp(G, X) \text{ XOR } \exp(G, Y))$

M4 = VGK, MT, CH1, CH2, $\exp(G, Y)$, $F(ZZ, \exp(G, X) \text{ XOR } \exp(G, Y))$, $F(ZZ, VGK)$

M5 = MT, VGK, CH2, CH3

M6 = VGK, MT, CH3, CH4

-
1. MT -> VGK : M1, $F(ZZ, M1)$
 2. VGK -> AuF : M2, $F(ZZ_VA, M2)$
 3. AuF -> VGK : M3, $F(ZZ_VA, M3)$
 4. VGK -> MT : M4, $F(\exp(\exp(G, X), Y), M4)$
 5. MT -> VGK : M5, $F(\exp(\exp(G, X), Y), M5)$
 6. VGK -> MT : M6, $F(\exp(\exp(G, X), Y), M6)$

Problems considered: 3

Attacks Found

A replay attack, as *AuF*'s reply to the authentication request from *VGK* does not contain enough information that *VGK* can read. The attack works by first observing a session between honest agents and then replaying messages from this session to *VGK*, posing both as *MT* and *AuF*. Use option `sessco` to find this attack with OFMC. Another attack recently discovered with OFMC is based on the fact that *VGK* cannot distinguish messages (2) and (3).

Further Notes

The fixed version, also included in this library, is not vulnerable to the attacks.

In the original protocol description there is a chain of intermediate hops between *VGK* and *AuF*, where the length of this chain depends on the concrete setting. Each of the hops shares a symmetric key with its neighbouring hops and forwards messages in the chain decrypting and re-encrypting them accordingly. All the hops and *AuF* have to be honest, since if one of them modifies messages or inserts new ones, the protocol trivially cannot provide authentication. In our formalisation we have modelled no intermediate hops (so *VGK* and *AuF* directly share a key) and a simple reduction proof shows that all attacks possible in a setting with an arbitrary number of intermediate hops can be simulated in our model with no intermediate hops. Note, however, that it is not possible to take this idea further and "merge" an honest *VGK* with *AuF*, as demonstrated by the attacks we have discovered where the intruder eavesdrops and replays messages (that he cannot decrypt) exchanged between *VGK* and *AuF*.

HLPSL Specification

```
role mobileTerminal (  
    MT, VGK, AuF : agent,  
    SND, RCV    : channel(dy),  
    F           : function,  
    ZZ          : symmetric_key,  
    NIL, G      : text)  
played_by MT def=
```

```

local
  State      : nat,
  X,CH1,CH3  : text,
  CH2,CH4    : text,
  GY,Key     : message

const sec_m_Key : protocol_id

init State := 0

transition

1. State = 0 /\ RCV(start) =|>
   State' := 1 /\ X' := new()
              /\ CH1' := new()
              /\ SND(MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.exp(G,X').F(ZZ.MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.exp(G,X')))

2. State = 1 /\ RCV(VGK.MT.CH1.CH2'.GY'.
                  F(ZZ.xor(exp(G,X),GY')).
                  F(ZZ.VGK).
                  F(exp(GY',X).VGK.MT.CH1.CH2'.GY'.
                    F(ZZ.xor(exp(G,X),GY'))).
                    F(ZZ.VGK)))
   =|>
   State' := 2 /\ CH3' := new()
                /\ Key' = exp(GY',X)
                /\ SND(MT.VGK.CH2'.CH3'.F(Key'.MT.VGK.CH2'.CH3'))
                /\ witness(MT,VGK,key1,Key')

3. State = 2 /\ RCV(VGK.MT.CH3.CH4'.F(Key.VGK.MT.CH3.CH4')) =|>
   State' := 3 /\ request(MT,VGK,key,Key)
                /\ secret(Key,sec_m_Key,{VGK,AuF}) % AuF must be honest anyway...

end role

```

```

role visitedGateKeeper (
  MT,VGK,AuF : agent,
  SND,RCV    : channel(dy),
  F          : function,

```

```

    ZZ_VA      : symmetric_key,
    NIL,G      : text)
played_by VGK def=

local
  State       : nat,
  GX,Key,Key1 : message,
  FM1,FM2,FM3,M2 : message,
  Y,CH2,CH4   : text,
  CH1,CH3     : text

const sec_v_Key : protocol_id

init State := 0

transition

1. State = 0 /\ RCV(MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.GX'.FM1') =|>
   State'= 1 /\ Y' := new()
              /\ Key'=exp(GX',Y')
              /\ M2' = MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.GX'.FM1'.VGK.xor(GX',exp(G,Y'))
              /\ SND(M2'.F(ZZ_VA.M2'))
              /\ witness(VGK,MT,key,Key')

2. State = 1 /\ RCV(VGK.MT.FM2'.FM3'.F(ZZ_VA.VGK.MT.FM2'.FM3')) =|>
   State'= 2 /\ CH2' := new()
              /\ SND( VGK.MT.CH1.CH2'.exp(G,Y).FM3'.FM2'.
                      F(Key.VGK.MT.CH1.CH2'.exp(G,Y).FM3'.FM2'))

3. State = 2 /\ RCV(MT.VGK.CH2.CH3'.F(Key.MT.VGK.CH2.CH3')) =|>
   State'= 3 /\ CH4' := new()
              /\ SND(VGK.MT.CH3'.CH4'.F(Key.VGK.MT.CH3'.CH4'))
              /\ request(VGK,MT,key1,Key)
              /\ secret(Key,sec_v_Key,{MT})

end role

```

```

role authenticationFacility(
  MT,VGK,AuF : agent,

```

```

    SND,RCV    : channel(dy),
    F          : function,
    ZZ,ZZ_VA  : symmetric_key,
    NIL,G     : text)
played_by AuF def=

local
  State       : nat,
  GX,GY      : message,
  CH1        : text

init
  State := 0

transition

1. State = 0 /\ RCV(
    MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.GX'.
    F(ZZ.MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.GX').
    VGK.xor(GX',GY').
    F(ZZ_VA.MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.GX').
    F(ZZ.MT.VGK.NIL.CH1'.GX').
    VGK.xor(GX',GY')) =|>

    State' := 1 /\ SND(
    VGK.MT.F(ZZ.VGK).F(ZZ.xor(GX',GY')).
    F(ZZ_VA.VGK.MT.F(ZZ.VGK).F(ZZ.xor(GX',GY'))))

end role

```

```

role session(
  MT,VGK,AuF : agent,
  F          : function,
  ZZ,ZZ_VA  : symmetric_key,
  NIL,G     : text)
def=

local SND,RCV : channel (dy)

composition
  mobileTerminal(MT,VGK,AuF,SND,RCV,F,ZZ,NIL,G)

```

```
/\ authenticationFacility(MT,VGK,AuF,SND,RCV,F,ZZ,ZZ_VA,NIL,G)
/\ visitedGateKeeper(MT,VGK,AuF,SND,RCV,F,ZZ_VA,NIL,G)
```

```
end role
```

```
role environment()
```

```
def=
```

```
const
```

```
  a,b,auf      : agent,
  f            : function,
  key,key1     : protocol_id,
  zz_a_auf,zz_b_auf,zz_i_auf
                : symmetric_key,
  nil,g       : text
```

```
intruder_knowledge = {a,b,auf,f,g,nil,zz_i_auf}
```

```
composition
```

```
  session(a,b,auf,f,zz_a_auf,zz_b_auf,nil,g)
/\ session(b,a,auf,f,zz_b_auf,zz_a_auf,nil,g)
/\ session(i,b,auf,f,zz_i_auf,zz_b_auf,nil,g)
/\ session(a,i,auf,f,zz_a_auf,zz_i_auf,nil,g)
```

```
end role
```

```
goal
```

```
%MobileTerminal authenticates VisitedGateKeeper on key
authentication_on key
%VisitedGateKeeper authenticates MobileTerminal on key1
authentication_on key1
```

```
%secrecy_of Key
secrecy_of sec_m_Key, sec_v_Key
```

```
end goal
```

environment()

References