# Homework TVA - due November 21, 2016 ${ }^{1}$ 

Sophie Pinchinat and Blaise Genest

## Exercice 1 Fragments of CTL

Consider the fragment ECTL of CTL which consists of formulas built according to the following grammar:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi::=a|\neg a| \Phi \wedge \Phi \mid \exists \varphi \\
\varphi::=\bigcirc \Phi|\square \Phi| \Phi \cup \Phi
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, ECTL-formulas are built by atomic propositions, negated atomic propositions, the Boolean connective $\wedge$ and the path quantifier $\exists$ together with the modalities $\bigcirc, \square$ and U .

For two transition systems $T S_{1}=\left(S_{1}, A c t, \rightarrow_{1}, I_{1}, A P, L_{1}\right)$ and $T S_{2}=\left(S_{2}, A c t, \rightarrow_{2}, I_{2}, A P, L_{2}\right)$, we write $T S_{1} \subseteq T S_{2}$ whenever $S_{1} \subseteq S_{2}, \rightarrow_{1} \subseteq \rightarrow_{2}, I_{1}=I_{2}$ and $L_{1}(s)=L_{2}(s)$ for all $s \in S_{1}$.

1. Prove that, for all ECTL-formulas $\Phi$ and all transition systems $T S_{1}, T S_{2}$ with $T S_{1} \subseteq T S_{2}$, its holds:

$$
T S_{1} \models \Phi \text { implies } T S_{2} \models \Phi
$$

2. Give a CTL-formula which is not equivalent to any ECTL-formula. Justify your answer!

## Exercice 2 CTL with fairness assumption

Let fair $=\square \diamond \forall \bigcirc(a \wedge \neg b) \rightarrow \square \diamond \forall \bigcirc(b \wedge \neg a) \wedge \diamond \square \exists \diamond b \rightarrow \square \diamond b$. Check that the transition system $T S$ depicted in Figure 1 verifies $T S \models_{\text {fair }} \forall \square(a \rightarrow \forall \diamond(b \wedge \neg a))$.


Figure 1: $T S$
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## Exercice 3 Timed Automata Modeling

You have to model a smart light switch with a timed automaton, where the description of the switch is as follows.

The ligth can be in three modes: off, dim and bright. The switch sense whether a user is touching it or not. There are two ways to cycle through the light modes: each new short tap makes it go from off to dim, from dim to bright and from bright to off. A long touch follows the cycle backwards.

## Exercice 4 Markov decision processes

Let $M$ be an MDP. Let Goal be a subset of $S$. Let $s$ be a state. We want to find an algorithm to determine the probability (=weight of the set of infinite paths) $P_{s}$ (Always some day Goal) of the set of infinite paths that see infinitely often any state of Goal from $s$.

1. Show that the set "Always some day Goal" of infinite paths is mesurable in the algebra of cylinders.
2. Consider that $M$ is a Markov Chain (MDP with only one action, hence no choice). Consider the strongly connected components of $S$.
2.1. What are the states of $S$ with $P_{s}($ Always some day Goal $)=0$ ?
2.2. What are the states of $S$ with $P_{s}$ (Always some day Goal $)=1$ ?
2.3. How to compute $P_{s}$ (Always some day Goal) for the other states?
3. Consider the general case for $M$ a MDP.
3.4. Give an algorithm to compute the states of $S$ with $P_{S}$ (Always some day Goal) $=0$.
3.5. How to compute $P_{s}($ Always some day Goal $)=0$ for the other states?
4. Compute $P_{s}$ (Always some day $\{2,5\}$ ) for all states of the MDP $M$ depicted Figure 2.


Figure 2: MDP $M$
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