

Pretty-Big-Step Semantics

Arthur Charguéraud

December 7, 2018

Presentation by Aurèle Barrière

Pretty-Big-Step Semantics

- Operational Semantics.
- Inspired by Big-Step Semantics.

Small-Step Semantics

Step: $(code, State) \rightarrow (code, State)$.

Many steps until final configuration.

Big-Step Semantics

One step: $(code, State) \rightarrow State$.

Small-step Reminder

$$\frac{}{(x := a, \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A}[[a]]\sigma]} \text{ ASSIG}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{skip}, \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma} \text{ SKIP}$$

$$\frac{(c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma'}{(c_1 ; c_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (c_2, \sigma')} \text{ SEQ1}$$

$$\frac{(c_1, \sigma) \rightarrow (c'_1, \sigma')}{(c_1 ; c_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (c'_1 ; c_2, \sigma')} \text{ SEQ2}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (c_1, \sigma)} \text{ IFT} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = \mathbf{tt}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{if } b \text{ then } c_1 \text{ else } c_2, \sigma) \rightarrow (c_2, \sigma)} \text{ IFE} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B}[[b]]\sigma = \mathbf{ff}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{while } b \text{ do } c, \sigma) \rightarrow (\text{if } b \text{ then } (c ; \text{while } b \text{ do } c) \text{ else skip}, \sigma)} \text{ WHI}$$

Big-step Reminder

$$\frac{}{(x := a, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma[x \mapsto \mathcal{A} \llbracket a \rrbracket \sigma]} \text{ ASSIG}$$

$$\frac{(S_1, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma' \quad (S_2, \sigma') \Downarrow \sigma''}{(S_1 ; S_2, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma''} \text{ SEQ}$$

$$\frac{(S_1, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma'}{(\text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma'} \text{ IFT} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = \mathbf{tt}$$

$$\frac{(S_2, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma'}{(\text{if } b \text{ then } S_1 \text{ else } S_2, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma'} \text{ IFE} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = \mathbf{ff}$$

$$\frac{(S, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma' \quad (\text{while } b \text{ do } S, \sigma') \Downarrow \sigma''}{(\text{while } b \text{ do } S, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma''} \text{ WHI1} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = \mathbf{tt}$$

$$\frac{}{(\text{while } b \text{ do } S, \sigma) \Downarrow \sigma} \text{ WHI2} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{B} \llbracket b \rrbracket \sigma = \mathbf{ff}$$

Why Pretty-Big-Step Semantics?

Big-Step is still used

- 17 out of 40 operational semantics in recent conferences.
- Cost semantics, informal description.
- Some proofs need to be done on big-step semantics.

Choosing a Semantics' style is about the way of writing the rules and doing the proofs, not expressivity.

Drawbacks of Big-Step Semantics

Redundancy when adding new constructs.

For instance: divergence, errors, control-flow exceptions. . .

Duplicating rules in Big-Step Semantics

The example of divergent behavior and exceptions.

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{false}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow tt/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow tt/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow tt/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow tt/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_2}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty} \text{co}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_3}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty} \text{co}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_4}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty} \text{co}}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow tt/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\text{exn}}/m_5}$$

$$\frac{t_1/m_1 \Rightarrow \text{true}/m_2 \quad t_3/m_2 \Rightarrow tt/m_3 \quad t_2/m_3 \Rightarrow tt/m_4 \quad \text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_4 \Rightarrow^{\infty}}{\text{for } t_1 t_2 t_3/m_1 \Rightarrow^{\infty} \text{co}}$$

Pretty-Big Step Presentation

Properties

- less rules.
- no duplication of premises.
- use coinduction for diverging behaviors.
- add intermediate terms.

Example: λ -calculus

$v := \text{int } n \mid \text{abs } x t$

$t := \text{val } v \mid \text{var } x \mid \text{app } t t$

Evaluating Application

Big-Step

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow \text{abs } x t \quad t_2 \Rightarrow v \quad [x \rightarrow v] t \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'}$$

First Attempt at Pretty-Big-Step

$$\frac{t_1 \Rightarrow v_1 \quad \text{app } v_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'} \quad \frac{t_2 \Rightarrow v_2 \quad \text{app } v_1 v_2 \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } v_1 t_2 \Rightarrow v'} \quad \frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Rightarrow v'}{\text{app } (\text{abs } x t) v \Rightarrow v'}$$

Overlapping Problem

Evaluation isn't syntax-directed.

Term Syntax

$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } vt \mid \text{app2 } vv$$

Non-overlapping Semantics

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \qquad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow v'}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow v'}$$
$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow v_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 v_2 \Downarrow v'}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow v'} \qquad \frac{[x \rightarrow v]t \Downarrow v'}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } xt)v \Downarrow v'}$$

Example

Evaluation of $(\lambda y. (y\ 0)) (\lambda x. x + 2)$.

app (abs y (app y 0)) (abs x (x + 2))).

Exceptions

Generalized Behavior and Extended Intermediate Terms

$$b := \text{ret } v \mid \text{exn } v$$
$$e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } bt \mid \text{app2 } vb \mid \text{raise1 } b \mid \text{try1 } bt$$

Pretty-Big-Step Rules

$$\frac{}{v \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{app1 } b_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{app1 } (\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow b_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 b_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{app2 } v (\text{exn } v) \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Downarrow b}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } x t) v \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{t \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{raise1 } b_1 \Downarrow b}{\text{raise } t \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{raise1 } v \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{raise1 } (\text{exn } v) \Downarrow \text{exn } v}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow b_1 \quad \text{try1 } b_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}{\text{try } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow b}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{try1 } vt \Downarrow v}$$

$$\frac{\text{app } tv \Downarrow b}{\text{try1 } (\text{exn } v) t \Downarrow b}$$

Divergence

$b := \text{ret } v \mid \text{exn } v \quad o := \text{ter } b \mid \text{div} \quad e := \text{trm } t \mid \text{app1 } ot \mid \text{app2 } vo \mid \text{raise1 } o \mid \text{try1 } ot$

$$\frac{}{\text{abort } (\text{exn } v)} \quad \frac{}{\text{abort } \text{div}} \quad \frac{}{v \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app1 } ot \Downarrow o} \quad \frac{t_2 \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 o_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app1 } v_1 t_2 \Downarrow o} \quad \frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app2 } vo \Downarrow o} \quad \frac{[x \rightarrow v]t \Downarrow o}{\text{app2 } (\text{abs } xt)v \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{t \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{raise1 } o_1 \Downarrow o}{\text{raise } t \Downarrow o} \quad \frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{raise1 } o \Downarrow o} \quad \frac{}{\text{raise1 } v \Downarrow \text{exn } v} \quad \frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{try1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{try } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{}{\text{try1 } vt \Downarrow v} \quad \frac{\text{app } tv \Downarrow o}{\text{try1 } (\text{exn } v)t \Downarrow o} \quad \frac{\text{abort } o \quad \forall v. o \neq \text{exn } v}{\text{try1 } ot \Downarrow o}$$

Coinduction

Section stream.

Variable A : Type.

CoInductive stream : Type :=

| Cons : A → stream → stream.

End stream.

Guardedness Condition

Every co-recursive call must be guarded by a constructor.

<http://adam.chlipala.net/cpdt/html/Coinductive.html>

Properties

Lemma 1. *For any term t , $t \Downarrow \text{div} \rightarrow \text{False}$.*

Lemma 2. *For any term e and outcome o , $e \Downarrow o \rightarrow e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o$.*

Lemma 3. *For any term e and outcome o , $e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o \rightarrow e \Downarrow o \vee e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{div}$.*

Theorem 1 (Equivalence with big-step semantics). *For any term t , and for any behavior b (describing either a value or an exception),*

$t \Downarrow b$ if and only if $t \Rightarrow b$ and $t \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{div}$ if and only if $t \Rightarrow^{\infty}$.

Lemma 4 (Determinacy). $\forall e o_1 o_2. e \Downarrow o_1 \wedge e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} o_2 \rightarrow o_1 = o_2$

Generic Error Rule

$$\frac{\neg (e \Downarrow)}{e \Downarrow \text{err}}$$

Type Soundness

Theorem 2 (Type soundness). *For any t and T , $\vdash t : T \rightarrow \neg t \Downarrow \text{err}$.*

$\text{abort}(\text{ter } \tau(\text{exn } v))$		$\text{abort}(\text{div } \sigma)$	
$v \Downarrow \text{ter } [\epsilon] v$	$\frac{t_1 \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app1 } o t \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	
$\frac{t_2 \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 o_2 \Downarrow o}{\text{app1}(\text{ter } \tau v_1) t_2 \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot \tau \cdot o}$	$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{app2 } v o \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	$\frac{[x \rightarrow v] t \Downarrow o}{\text{app2}(\text{abs } x t)(\text{ter } \tau v) \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot \tau \cdot o}$	
$\frac{t \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{read1 } o_1 \Downarrow o}{\text{read } t \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{read1 } o \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	$\frac{}{\text{read1}(\text{ter } \tau t) \Downarrow \text{ter}([\epsilon] \cdot \tau \cdot [\text{in } n]) n}$	
$\frac{t \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{write1 } o_1 \Downarrow o}{\text{write } t \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	$\frac{\text{abort } o}{\text{write1 } o \Downarrow [\epsilon] \cdot o}$	$\frac{}{\text{write1}(\text{ter } \tau n) \Downarrow \text{ter}([\epsilon] \cdot \tau \cdot [\text{out } n]) \#}$	

Lemma 5. *For any finite trace τ , $(e \Downarrow^{\text{co}} \text{ter } \tau v) \Leftrightarrow (e \Downarrow \text{ter } \tau v)$.*

Side-effects

$$\frac{t_1 / m \Downarrow o_1 \quad \text{app1 } o_1 t_2 / m \Downarrow o}{\text{app } t_1 t_2 / m \Downarrow o}$$

$$\frac{t_2 / m \Downarrow o_2 \quad \text{app2 } v_1 o_2 / m \Downarrow o}{\text{app1 } (\text{ter } m v_1) t_2 / m' \Downarrow o}$$

Other Functionalities

- For Loops
- Tuples
- Generic Abort Rule

Formalization of core-Caml

Features

- Booleans, Integers, Tuples, Algebraic Data Types, records
- Functions, Recursive functions, applications, sequences
- Conditionals, for loops, while loops
- Pattern-matching, let-bindings, assertions

Missing Features

- Floats
- Mutual Recursion
- With construct for records
- Arrays
- Objects, Modules

Formalization of core-Caml

	rules	premises	tokens
Big-step without divergence	71	83	1540
Big-step with divergence	113	143	2263
Pretty-big-step	70	60	1361

```
(** Grammar of outcomes *)
Inductive out :=
  | out_beh : mem -> beh -> out
  | out_div : out.

(** Grammar of extended terms *)
Inductive ext : Type :=
  | ext_trm : trm -> ext
  | ext_binary_1 : prim -> out -> trm -> ext
  | ext_binary_2 : prim -> val -> out -> ext
  | ext_app_1 : out -> trm -> ext
  ...

Lemma soundness : forall t T,
  typing empty t T -> ~ red t out_err.
```

European Symposium of Programming, 2013. 43 citations.

Further Works

- A trusted mechanized JavaScript specification, 2014
- Certified Abstract Interpretation with Pretty-Big-Step Semantics, 2015
- Functional Big-Step Semantics, 2016

Questions

- Determinacy lemma?
- What's specific to Pretty-Big-Step?
- Typing Complexity?
- Standard notion of Coinduction.

Conclusion

- New operational Semantics.
- Inspired by and Equivalent to Big-Step.
- Less rules, more factorization.
- Adding functionalities is easier.
- Implemented a full language (core-Ocaml).
- Size reduction of 40%.
- Co-Inductive proofs cannot be done in Coq yet.